



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

MCVEIGH'S ADM'R V. CHAMBERLAIN.—Decided at Richmond, December 3, 1896.—*Riely, J.* Absent, *Keith, P.\**:

1. PRESUMPTION OF PAYMENT—*Bond not due—Evidence of payment—Checks imply money due by drawer or money loaned him.* Upon satisfactory proof that one has executed and delivered his obligation to another, for an interest in real estate contingent upon the obligee surviving his mother, which obligation is only payable when, and on condition that, the obligee survives his mother, no presumption of payment arises in the lifetime of the mother, and evidence of payment during the lifetime of the mother, and before any liability has accrued to the obligee should be strong and clear. The production of cancelled checks of the obligor to the obligee, or an acceptance of said obligor to the obligee, do not constitute such proof. They rather imply, in the absence of other evidence, that they were given in payment of a debt then due and payable from the obligor to the obligee, or for money then lent by the obligee to the obligor. In the case at bar the evidence does not warrant a presumption of payment.

2. EVIDENCE—*Competency of witness—Adverse interest.* Where the subject of investigation is a bond, and the legatee and devisee of the obligor is first examined as a witness in behalf of the estate of the obligor, this renders the obligee a competent witness in his own behalf under section 3346 of the Code.

3. EVIDENCE—*Exception to deposition—Attention of trial court.* Unless the record shows that an exception to the deposition of a witness, for incompetency or other cause, was brought to the attention of the trial court at the hearing, it will be taken by the appellate court to have been waived.

---

POWELL'S EXECUTOR V. CITY OF RICHMOND.—Decided at Richmond, December 3, 1896.—*Keith, P.*:

1. TAXES IN CITY OF RICHMOND—*Duration of lien.* There is no limitation on the time within which the city of Richmond may enforce the lien which it has under its charter for taxes assessed by the city on real estate therein. The city charter prescribes no limit, and the amendment to section 674 of the Code, approved January 18, 1888, leaves the rights of the city unaffected by section 636 of the Code.

---

REID BROS. & CO. V. NORFOLK CITY R. R. CO. AND OTHERS.—Decided at Richmond, December 10, 1896.—*Cardwell, J.*:

1. CHANCERY PLEADING—*Dismissing bill—Leave to amend—Failure to state ground of amendment—Appellate Court.* Although a decree dismissing a bill for want of equity shows that leave was asked to amend the bill and was refused, the appellate court will not reverse the decree when the record fails to disclose in what respect the complainant proposed to amend.

2. INJUNCTIONS—*Prohibitions—Dissolution—When appellate court will not interfere.* Upon a pure bill of injunction to enjoin the performance of certain acts, but containing no prayer for any mandatory acts to be performed where the injunction as prayed has been granted, without any mandatory provision, if it

---

\* Judge Keith decided the case in the court below.